Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a devastating disease, not only for patients but also for their families and loved ones. What typically begins as fairly subtle memory loss progresses relentlessly over a period of approximately 7-10 years, until all higher cognitive functions are eroded and AD patients are robbed of their identity and ability to interact with the outside world. Currently, estimates indicate that more than 27 million individuals are affected by AD worldwide [1]. In the United States alone, more than 4 million individuals have the disease [2]. Unfortunately, without a cure or a means to otherwise prevent this disease or significantly slow its progression, the number of affected individuals in the United States is expected to triple by 2050 due to the aging baby boomer generation [2]. This enormous increase in the number of affected individuals is likely to have dire consequences on the already overburdened health care system in this country.

Based on the statistics alone, the identification of novel therapeutic or preventative agents is of considerable importance. To rationally develop these agents, an understanding of the etiology and pathogenesis of this complex disease is necessary. Over the past century, numerous hypotheses have been proposed, including abnormal phosphorylation of tau, unconventional infectious agents, trace element neurotoxicity, growth factor deficiency, excitatory amino acid insult, altered calcium homeostasis, free radical toxicity, deficits in energy metabolism, and altered protein processing resulting in abnormal β-amyloid peptide (Aβ) accumulation (reviewed in part by...
Markesbery [3]). Most importantly, any hypothesis describing the etiology and pathogenesis of AD must take into account the neurologic and neuropathologic features of AD as well as the known genetic risk factors, causative mutations, and the heightened risk associated with advanced age.

One such comprehensive hypothesis, that has received significant attention over the past 2 decades (for better or for worse), is the amyloid hypothesis [4–6]. Although many iterations of this hypothesis currently exist, the initial hypothesis stated that the “deposition of amyloid β protein (AβP), the main component of the plaques, is the causative agent of Alzheimer’s pathology…” [5]. This article examines the evidence for this hypothesis and its potential limitations, particularly related to the development of novel therapeutic and preventative agents.

**Neuropathology of Alzheimer’s disease and the identification of beta-amyloid**

The first evidence for the amyloid hypothesis came from neuropathologic assessments of brains isolated from AD patients. The earliest examinations published by Alois Alzheimer described the neuropathology in two patients [7–9], and revealed a diffuse atrophy primarily of the cerebral cortex. Staining of the brains isolated from these two patients demonstrated the presence of two types of lesions. The first type, now known as neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs), was observed in the initial patient, and was described as a twisted coil of fibrils derived from degenerating cerebral cortical cells. The second type of lesion, now known as senile plaques, was present in both of the cases to differing degrees. These plaques were found throughout the cerebral cortex and were characterized by a central core surrounded by a more diffuse halo.

We now know that these classical senile plaques are complex, extracellular lesions that are associated with degenerating neuronal processes, have activated microglia intertwined with the central deposit, and are surrounded by reactive astrocytes. These deposits are found throughout the neocortex and hippocampus in patients who have AD [10]. The central deposit in classical senile plaques structurally is similar to the deposits seen in a group of diseases referred to as amyloidoses, wherein there is extracellular deposition of proteins with a beta-pleated sheet conformation (reviewed by Sipe [11,12]). More than 15 different polypeptides have been identified as the primary proteinaceous components of the amyloids that are deposited in various tissues in the clinically diverse amyloidoses.

The central location of the plaque core within this pathology led to the speculation that whatever comprises the core may play a pivotal role in the disease process itself. In a landmark finding in 1984, Glenner and Wong published the purification and sequence of the primary proteinaceous component of amyloid isolated from meningeal vessels obtained from AD brains [13]. By comparing samples from six AD patients and three controls,
they identified a unique protein only present in the patients who had AD. Size-exclusion chromatography revealed that the protein had an approximate molecular weight of 4200 daltons, and amino acid analysis and sequencing revealed a novel amino acid sequence now referred to as Aβ.

Alzheimer’s disease and Down syndrome: the link to chromosome 21

Previously, it was established that individuals who have Down syndrome who live past age 50 have neuropathologic changes similar to AD patients (reviewed by Mann [14]). In a follow-up to their original finding, Glenner and Wong [15] isolated and analyzed the cerebrovascular amyloid from Down syndrome patients. They established that the amino acid sequence of cerebrovascular amyloid in Down syndrome is identical to that observed in AD patients. Given the similarity of the amyloid deposited in AD and Down syndrome, Glenner and Wong [15] proposed that there was a common pathogenic process involved. Down syndrome results from trisomy of the twenty-first chromosome, which implied that AD pathology could be produced by increased expression of a gene or genes on chromosome 21.

After these initial reports, amino acid sequencing of the amyloid isolated from senile plaques from AD and Down syndrome brains was reported by other groups [16,17]. These reports established that the amino-terminal sequence and amino acid composition of plaque core amyloid was identical to that of cerebrovascular amyloid isolated from AD or Down syndrome brains, except for the presence of ragged NH2 termini [17].

To isolate the gene encoding Aβ, Kang and colleagues [18] used degenerate primers targeted against amino acids 10-16 of the peptide to screen a complementary DNA library constructed from the brain of a 5-month old fetus. In these experiments, they isolated a clone encoding a 695 amino acid protein that contained the Aβ sequence beginning 99 amino acids from the carboxyl end of the protein. This protein was simultaneously reported by other groups and is now known as β-amyloid protein precursor (βAPP) [19–21]. Southern blot analysis of mouse/human cell hybrids revealed that the gene encoding βAPP is located on the twenty-first chromosome [18]. This data substantiated Glenner and Wong’s previous suggestion, that overexpression of a gene or genes on chromosome 21 should be sufficient to cause AD pathology. Subsequent studies by Tamaoka and colleagues showed that Aβ levels were increased significantly in plasma isolated from patients who had Down syndrome when compared with control individuals, indicating that an increased copy number of βAPP does result in increased levels of Aβ in humans [22].

β-amyloid protein precursor metabolism

A basic description of the metabolism of βAPP is necessary to understand how the familial AD (FAD)-linked mutations (discussed later) can
influence the accumulation of Aβ. The Aβ peptide sequence is embedded in the βAPP protein, indicating that two separate proteolytic cleavages are required to generate Aβ from its precursor. The N-terminus of Aβ is generated by cleavage of βAPP by β-secretase, producing a 99 amino acid C-terminal fragment (CTF) of βAPP that can be cleaved further by γ-secretase to release Aβ. γ-Secretase generates two major Aβ species, 40 and 42 amino acids in length, termed Aβ40 and Aβ42. βAPP also can be cleaved within the Aβ domain by α-secretase, an action that precludes Aβ generation. The β- and γ-secretase cleavages are discussed further with descriptions of the discovery of the three genes linked to familial early-onset AD and the mechanisms by which they elevate Aβ levels.

Genetics of Alzheimer’s disease

Some of the strongest evidence for a critical role for Aβ in AD came from an analysis of the genetic mutations that cause AD. In addition to trisomy 21 causing neuropathology that essentially is identical to that seen in typical late-onset AD, AD can be inherited as a fully penetrant, autosomal dominant trait in certain families [23–24]. In these families, the clinical and neuropathologic presentation of the disease essentially is identical to typical late-onset AD, but the age of onset is earlier, typically in the 50s. Mutations in three distinct genes, on three separate chromosomes, have been identified as the cause of AD in these families: the βAPP gene on chromosome 21 [25–29], the presenilin 1 gene on chromosome 14 [30], and the presenilin 2 gene on chromosome 1 [31]. These genes are reviewed in greater detail in the article by Taner and colleagues elsewhere in this issue. However, some of FAD-linked mutations are highlighted below as they relate to the amyloid hypothesis.

The first mutation shown to cause AD, found in a single family, was a point mutation in the βAPP gene itself. This mutation results in a substitution of the more hydrophobic amino acid, isoleucine, for valine at position 717 (V717I), which is immediately carboxyl to the Aβ sequence [26]. In other families, additional mutations at this position subsequently were identified that result in the substitution of phenylalanine (V717F) [25] or glycine (V717G) [27]. After the identification of mutations at position 717 in the βAPP gene, a double mutation at position 670/671 was identified in a large Swedish family with a mean age of onset of AD of 55 years [28]. The 670/671 double mutation results in a substitution of asparagine and leucine for the lysine and methionine, respectively, immediately preceding the N-terminus of Aβ (K670N/M671L). In context, the identification of causative mutations for AD, not only within the βAPP protein itself but also immediately adjacent to the cleavage sites needed to liberate the Aβ peptide from its precursor protein, provided additional, immediate support for the amyloid hypothesis.
To investigate the hypothesis that the mutations identified in the βAPP gene would alter the amount of Aβ peptide being produced, several groups turned their attention to the analysis of βAPP metabolism and extracellular Aβ accumulation in model systems [32–35]. Analysis of total Aβ concentration in the conditioned medium of transfected cells expressing these FAD-linked mutations indicated that the Swedish mutation caused a several-fold increase in the amount of Aβ accumulated extracellularly [32,33]. Additionally, analysis of the CTFs and secreted forms of βAPP (sAPP) showed elevations in CTFβ and sAPPβ, indicating that the increased Aβ concentration observed with this mutation is likely the result of enhanced β secretase cleavage [36]. Using the same experimental paradigm, no significant differences in total Aβ, CTFs, or sAPPs were observed, however, in cells transfected with the 717 mutations [35].

Pioneering work by Lansbury and colleagues [37–39] showed that the carboxy-terminal length of the Aβ molecule was critical to determining the rate at which Aβ fibrils form. Using synthetic peptides, they showed that Aβ ending at position 42 formed fibrils far more rapidly and at lower concentrations than Aβ ending at position 40. As the deposition of Aβ in the form of amyloid fibrils represents an invariant feature of AD, Younkin and colleagues [33] proposed that the 717 mutations might be acting to selectively increase secretion of Aβ42. In a landmark finding, Younkin’s group showed that secreted Aβ42, which normally constitutes only a fraction of total secreted Aβ, is increased significantly in the medium of cells expressing the 717 mutations [35]. Thus, both the Swedish mutation and the 717 mutations increase the concentration of Aβ, in particular Aβ42.

To date, one of the greatest tests of the amyloid hypothesis involved the analysis of mutations that also cause early-onset AD but that do not reside in the βAPP gene or even on chromosome 21. These were the presenilin mutations. (These are covered in detail the article by Taner and colleagues elsewhere in this issue.) Initially, there was no evidence to suggest that these genes were involved with βAPP processing. In fact, they seemed equally as likely to directly influence tau, synapse loss, energy metabolism, or a host of other factors associated with alternate theories regarding the etiology and pathogenesis of AD.

However, studies performed by Younkin and colleagues [40] showed that in cultured medium from primary fibroblasts and plasma isolated from patients who had either presenilin 1 or presenilin 2 mutations, Aβ levels were elevated, in particular Aβ42 levels, similar to the 717 mutations in βAPP. Follow-up studies by several groups examining the influence of these mutations on Aβ levels in either transfected cells or in the brains of animals transgenic for these mutations confirmed these findings.

When the presenilins were discovered as FAD-linked genes in 1995, their functions were unknown and their link to APP metabolism was not clear. Then, in 1997, Selkoe and colleagues [41] showed that APP and presenilin interact in mammalian cells, as evidenced by coimmunoprecipitation
experiments. Over the next 5 years, several additional laboratories demonstrated that the presenilins are the catalytic component of the multiprotein complex that is γ-secretase [42–44]. Consequently, the relationship between βAPP, the presenilins, and AD now is clear: Aβ is generated by β-secretase and γ-secretase (presenilin complex) cleavage of the βAPP protein. All of the mutations identified in βAPP, presenilin 1, and presenilin 2 that cause early-onset FAD increase Aβ levels, particularly Aβ42 levels, or otherwise perturb the ratio of Aβ42 to Aβ40 levels [45] in ways likely to foster Aβ aggregation and deposition.

β-amyloid peptide levels increase during aging

Aging clearly is the most significant risk factor associated with AD, and Aβ levels begin to increase in the brains of many people who are cognitively normal between the ages of 40 and 80 [46,47]. According to the study of consecutive autopsy cases by Funato and colleagues [46], insoluble Aβ42 in particular accumulates with age in the cortex and precedes senile plaque formation. Compared with brains from cognitively normal elderly individuals, AD brain had higher levels of soluble and insoluble Aβ42 and Aβ40 and a higher degree of N-terminally truncated or modified Aβ. Similar correlations between Aβ levels and age in individuals who were cognitively normal were reported by Morishima-Kawashima and colleagues [47], with significant increases in Aβ accumulation beginning after age 40. In both studies, insoluble Aβ concentration was related logarithmically to plaque density, and a critical threshold (approximately 100 pmol/g) of insoluble Aβ42 was required for immunocytochemical detection of senile plaques. In the latter study, carriers of the apolipoprotein E ε4 allele, a strong risk factor for AD, were found to accumulate Aβ at an earlier age than noncarriers [47].

Increased levels of β-amyloid peptide: causative agent or very good biomarker?

As discussed previously, elevations in Aβ concentration that are likely to enhance aggregation and deposition are linked to the expression of all of the FAD-linked mutations analyzed to date, and in Down syndrome. These elevations can be detected in plasma and in fibroblast-conditioned medium isolated from presymptomatic individuals [36,48] and in transgenic animals before deposition [49,50], suggesting that these changes are early and not simply an epiphenomenon associated with end-stage AD. In addition it seems that Aβ levels increase during aging in humans and in animal models, with age being the highest contributing risk factor for the development of the disease.
The question then remains, do elevations in Aβ play a central, causal role in the pathogenesis of the disease or are they a relatively benign marker of the underlying disease process. Perhaps this question will not be answered until newly developed approaches to lower Ab either fail or show significant improvement in the clinics. However, it can be concluded, based on numerous studies, that elevations in Ab levels are not likely without consequence.

Clinical-neuropathologic correlations in Alzheimer’s disease

The extent of correlation between the neuropathologic lesions in AD patients and the severity of their dementia has been an area of considerable debate and continues to be used consistently as an argument against the amyloid hypothesis. As is true with any correlative function, a correlation can be a good indicator of a causal relationship, but close correlation is not definitive proof of causality. For example, a well-correlated change simply can be an inconsequential, reliable biomarker of another process that is causative. With that in mind, some of the earliest studies showed significant correlations between plaque numbers and the extent of dementia [51]. Several other studies, however, reported that the number of NFTs and neuropil threads is a far better indicator of the degree of dementia [52,53]. One of the most comprehensive recent analyses, with respect to the extent of variables examined, was published by Cummings and colleagues [54]. In this study, they found that the number of plaques, NFTs, and dystrophic neurites all correlated significantly with dementia severity and the area occupied by Aβ and tau paired-helical filaments. However, individuals remain who have extensive amyloid deposition and are cognitively normal. For example, in a study by Markesbery’s group, significant AD-like pathology (plaques and tangles) was found in the brains of a substantial number of elderly, cognitively normal individuals [55]. These and similar studies led some to argue that the amyloid hypothesis must be wrong. In response, some amyloid theory proponents have adjusted the hypothesis accordingly to accommodate and now argue for preamyloid-like aggregates of Aβ, such as Aβ oligomers, as the causative agent in the disease process. Regardless of the correct hypothesis, the development of AD is a reasonably long process. Therefore, it is not surprising that with nearly one half of the population susceptible to the disease, if they live long enough, individuals can be found who have significant neuropathology and who are cognitively normal. Similar trends are observed in other neurodegenerative diseases, such as Parkinson’s disease, where approximately 70% of the dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra are lost before the development of clinical symptoms.

β-amyloid peptide toxicity

If alterations in Aβ are necessary and sufficient to play a causal role in AD pathogenesis, then Aβ should be able to elicit, directly or indirectly, the
neuropathologic and cognitive changes observed in patients who have AD. Furthermore, mechanisms must exist that can explain the prevalence of the disease in the aging population and in people carrying causative mutations and known genetic risk factors. Evidence gathered over the past several years builds an increasingly stronger case that the alterations in Aβ observed in the genetic forms of AD are not without consequence and can account for the neuropathology and dementia in AD. This section reviews evidence for the neurotoxicity of abnormal Aβ species. Although neurotoxicity initially was attributed to the fibrillar species of Aβ deposited in plaques, recent data also implicate soluble Aβ oligomers, which may form before plaque deposition and cause neuronal dysfunction that may facilitate many of the downstream pathologic events in AD. Because these soluble oligomers exist in equilibrium with fibrillar Aβ as deposition progresses, the neuronal loss, inflammation, and other pathology seen in the vicinity of plaques may be the result of the oligomers, the plaques, or a combination of the two.

Soluble, synthetic Aβ peptides were shown by Yankner and colleagues [56] to be neurotrophic at low concentration to undifferentiated hippocampal neurons in culture and toxic at higher concentrations to mature neurons. Subsequently, the neurotoxicity of Aβ was shown to be dependent on its aggregation state [57,58]. Stable Aβ aggregates were highly toxic to primary neurons, and partial reversal of aggregation resulted in a loss of toxicity. Similar results were found in in vivo studies, with microinjection of fibrillar, but not soluble, Aβ causing neurotoxicity in the cerebral cortex of aged rhesus monkeys [59]. Neurotoxicity was dependent not only on the aggregation state of Aβ but also on the age and species of the animal model used. Specifically, plaque-equivalent concentrations of fibrillar Aβ resulted in extensive neuronal loss, tau phosphorylation, and microglial activation in the brains of aged monkeys but were not toxic to young adult monkeys or aged rodents. Much higher concentrations of Aβ were required to elicit neurotoxicity in young adult monkeys and in rodents [59–61]. These results may help to explain the vulnerability of the elderly to AD and the difficulty of generating a rodent model that faithfully reproduces all of the neuropathologic features of the disease.

In vitro, fibrils are believed to form via the progression from Aβ monomers to low-molecular-weight oligomers to intermediate species (called protofibrils) that assemble into mature fibrils [62]. The data indicating that Aβ fibrils are neurotoxic and can elicit other AD characteristics, including tau phosphorylation, suggested that disrupting fibrils might be therapeutically beneficial. However, researchers suspected that the disruption of insoluble Aβ fibrils could result in an accumulation of protofibrils and other soluble oligomers. Therefore, experiments were performed to investigate whether these lower-level aggregates apparently were nontoxic, like Aβ monomers, or whether they might elicit neurotoxic effects, like fibrils. Data generated over the past several years demonstrates convincingly that Aβ oligomers neurotoxic, and in many assays they are even more toxic than fibrils [63].
Soluble oligomers range from dimers and trimers to dodecamers, also called Aβ-derived diffusible ligands (ADDLs) [64,65]. The smaller sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) stable oligomers are produced by several cell lines and have been detected in human brain and cerebrospinal fluid. Similarly, the larger ADDLs are not merely an artifact of the in vitro assembly of Aβ, as structurally indistinguishable Aβ oligomers are present in soluble extracts of AD brain at average levels 12-fold higher than in control brains [66]. ADDLs, formed in vitro or purified from AD brain, bind specifically to synapses in differentiated hippocampal neuronal cultures [63]. This evidence for specific neuronal attachment, coupled with the fact that ADDLs and lower-molecular-weight Aβ oligomers are shown to be potent inhibitors of long-term potentiation, a model of synaptic plasticity and memory, provides a rational explanation for early memory loss in AD and in animal models of AD [64,67,68].

As discussed previously, a common criticism of the amyloid hypothesis was that in some studies, plaque burden correlated poorly with severity of dementia in AD. The discovery of soluble oligomers as neurotoxic Aβ species led to an examination of the relationship between soluble Aβ concentration and clinical and pathologic severity. A strong correlation between soluble Aβ and markers of disease severity, including synaptic loss, was identified [69,70]. Two additional lines of evidence support the hypothesis that soluble Aβ oligomers are the primary toxic entity in the brain, at least in animal models.

First, impaired synaptic transmission and cognitive function are seen before overt amyloid deposition in mouse models of AD [71–73]. In the widely used APP transgenic mouse model, Tg2576, a partial decline in memory occurs at approximately 6 months, before amyloid deposition. Cognitive function then remains stable over the next 7 to 8 months, even though plaque deposition progresses and becomes significant over this time period. Finally, a further decline in cognitive function is detected at ages greater than 15 months. The initial memory decline at 6 months, followed by the period of stability, was perplexing in terms of the lack of correlation with the course of amyloid plaque deposition in this model. This led Lesné and colleagues [72] to conduct a detailed biochemical analysis of Aβ complexes in the brains of these mice during the time period when the first behavioral deficits are detected. Soluble, extracellular-enriched extracts from the forebrain of 6-month-old Tg2576 contained SDS and urea stable Aβ complexes with molecular weights theoretically corresponding to trimers and multiples thereof, up to a molecular weight of 56 kd. Only the 56-kd (theoretic dodecamer) and 40-kd (theoretic nonamer) species appeared for the first time at 6 months. Both correlated inversely with memory performance, with the 56-kd form (termed Aβ*56) showing the strongest correlation. The levels of the 40- and 56-kd Aβ complexes remained stable on average during the subsequent period of cognitive stability in the mice. To test more directly whether or not Aβ*56 causes cognitive impairment, the
complexes were purified from Tg2576 brain extracts and then injected into the lateral ventricle of rats. Aβ*56 caused a transient decrease in spatial memory in rats, supporting the hypothesis that this complex could be responsible for the onset of memory deficits in the Tg2576 mouse model [72]. Whether or not Aβ*56 structurally is identical to the 56-kd ADDLs derived from AD brain [66] is an intriguing question that remains to be determined.

Second, therapeutic interventions, which lower the level of soluble Aβ or disrupt Aβ assembly in animal models, often in the absence of detectable changes in plaque load, ameliorate cognitive deficits [74–79]. This effect is not unique to a single therapeutic approach and has been observed with such divergent strategies as Aβ immunization, acute γ-secretase inhibition, and oligomer neutralization. Recently, Lee and colleagues [77] showed that short-term passive immunization of aged Tg2576 APP transgenic mice with a conformation-specific Aβ antibody that preferentially recognizes dimers, soluble oligomers, and certain amyloid deposits resulted in significant improvements in spatial learning and memory without affecting amyloid burden. These results are similar to those obtained by independent groups using different Aβ antibodies and different transgenic lines [75,76] and support the hypothesis that the neutralization of toxic Aβ species can reverse cognitive deficits in mice. This hypothesis has recently been tested by other investigators [78] using a completely different experimental paradigm but with similar results [78,79]. Cyclohexanehexol stereoisomers, which inhibit Aβ aggregation and favor the disassembly of fibrils, can prevent Aβ oligomer–induced toxicity in cultured primary neurons and hippocampal slices and oligomer-induced memory deficits in rats [79]. When administered orally to TgCRND8 APP transgenic mice from 6 weeks of age (predemotion) to 4 to 6 months (significant amyloid deposition), scyllo-cyclohexanol showed a dose-dependent improvement in spatial learning accompanied by decreases in amyloid burden and Aβ oligomers [78]. Synaptic loss was ameliorated at 6 months as was accelerated mortality in the treated mice.

Perhaps the most important implication of these studies is that the cognitive impairment in these models is not permanent. To what degree this applies to the human condition is unknown, because the profound neuronal loss in AD is absent in AD mouse models. Nonetheless, reducing soluble Aβ levels or altering a toxic conformation may be a less ambitious goal than clearing plaques. The true test for the amyloid hypothesis of AD, and the specific notion that soluble oligomers mediate Aβ toxicity, awaits the further development of Aβ-targeted therapies and their progression to clinical trial.
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